arXiv:1509.04254v1 [hep-th] 14 Sep 2015

Cosmology of modified gravity with a non-local $f(R)$

I. Dimitrijevic*, B. Dragovich†, J. Grujic‡, A.S. Koshelev§, Z. Rakic*

Abstract

We consider a modification of GR with a special type of a non-local $f(R)$. The structure of the non-local operators is motivated by the string field theory and p-adic string theory. We pay special account to the stability of the de Sitter solution in our model and formulate the conditions on the model parameters to have a stable configuration. Relevance of unstable configurations for the description of the de Sitter phase during inflation is discussed. Special physically interesting values of parameters are studied in details.

*Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade, Studentski trg 16,Belgrade, Serbia, ivand@matf.bg.ac.rs, zoranrrr@gmail.com

†Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, and Mathematical Institute SANU, Belgrade, Serbia, dragovich@ipb.ac.rs

‡Teacher Education Faculty, University of Belgrade, Kraljice Natalije 43,Belgrade, Serbia, jelenagg@gmail.com

§Departamento de Física and Centro de Matemática e Aplicações, Universidade da Beira Interior, 6200 Covilhã, Portugal, alexey@ubi.pt

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Non-local generalization of GR and equations of motion **4**

3 Solutions construction **6**
3.1 Cosmological FRW solutions 6
3.2 Non-local R^2 case 7
3.3 Ansatz for (6) 8
3.4 Constant curvature solutions 8

4 Cosmological expansion **9**
4.1 Background 9
4.2 Scalar perturbations 9
4.3 Perturbations 10
4.4 Solution to (36) 11
4.5 Bardeen potentials 12
4.6 Solutions for Φ and Ψ 12

5 Understanding condition (54) **14**

6 Discussion and conclusion **15**

1 Introduction

In 2015, the gravity community marks the first century of the General Relativity (GR), which is viewed as one of the most beautiful and profound physical theories [1]. GR is still an acting theory of gravity usually presented by Einstein's equation of motion for the gravitational (metric) field $g_{\mu\nu}$:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{1}$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor, R is the Ricci scalar, $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor of matter, G is the Newtonian constant and the speed of light is taken $c = 1$. This Einstein's equation can be derived from the Einstein-Hilbert action

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \sqrt{-g}\, R\, d^4x + \int \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_m\, d^4x, \tag{2}$$

where $g = \det(g_{\mu\nu})$ and $\mathcal{L}_m$ is the Lagrangian of matter. The signature of the metric is $(-+++)$ and the dimensionality is manifestly 4.

GR has been well tested and confirmed in the Solar system, and it serves as a theoretical laboratory for gravitational investigations at other spacetime scales. It has important astrophysical implications predicting existence of black holes, gravitational lensing and gravitational waves. In cosmology, GR predicts existence of about 95% of additional matter, which makes dark side of the universe. Namely, if GR is the gravity theory for the universe as a whole and if the Universe has the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric (which is homogeneous and isotropic) at the cosmic scale, then there is about 68% of *dark energy*, 27% of *dark matter*, and only about 5% of *visible matter* in the universe [2].

Despite of remarkable phenomenological achievements and many nice theoretical properties, GR is not a complete theory of gravity. It has well known long standing problems both in UV and IR regimes. In UV or at short distances GR predicts singularities like the Big Bang or black hole ones. In particular, under rather general conditions, GR contains cosmological solutions which lead to an infinite matter density at the beginning of the Universe [3]. When physical theory contains singularity, it is an evident indication that around it such a theory has to be appropriately modified. In IR pure GR does not provide a decent explanation of the Dark Energy phenomenon since a possible cosmological term has an absolutely unnatural and unexplainable tiny value. From just a theoretical point of view GR is not a renormalizable theory even if being quantized. The ways to modify GR usually come from some more general theories like quantum gravity, string theory as well as astrophysical and cosmological observations (for a review, see [4]). Unfortunately there is no so far solid fundamental physical principle which could tell us how to find appropriate modification between infinitely many possible theoretical constructions.

In the present paper we consider a non-local modification of GR which has strong motivation from string field theory (SFT) [5] and p-adic string theory [6]. Such a modification was initially introduced in [7] and is intensively studied recently [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. After developing the general ideas regarding this modified theory we mainly focus on the properties of a de Sitter solution. De Sitter represents one of the most important regime in the Universe evolution. At early times de Sitter phase with a large expansion rate lead to the rapid inflation. Nowadays slow expansion is attributed to the Dark Energy. The principal generalization w.r.t. previous papers is a replacement of the non-local term $R\mathcal{F}(\Box)R$ in the Lagrangian, where $\Box$ is the d'Alembertian operator, with a more general one

$$P(R)\mathcal{F}(\Box)Q(R).$$

The main motivation to go for this more involved structure is the fact that

just $P = Q = R$ term does not have a stable de Sitter phase w.r.t. linear perturbations as will be explained in the next Sections. It is not a problem for the de Sitter phase during inflation as it is exactly what is needed to exit the inflation. On the other hand, an attempt to describe the present day Universe expansion clearly requires a stable configuration and therefore demands further model altering. It is also known that a modification with higher order derivatives improves the situation with the renormalizability [14, 15] during the quantization of the model.

In Section 2 we present the model and derive equations of motion. In Section 3 we develop some general ideas of solution construction and discuss some of them. In Section 4 we turn to the de Sitter solution and formulate the stability condition for linear perturbations. In Section 5 we explore the stability condition in greater details and put down concluding remarks in Section 6.

2 Non-local generalization of GR and equations of motion

We consider the following nonlocal gravity action

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{M_P^2}{2}R - \Lambda + \frac{\lambda}{2}P(R)\mathcal{F}(\Box)Q(R)\right), \tag{3}$$

where R is the scalar curvature, Λ is the cosmological constant, $\mathcal{F}(\Box) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n\Box^n$ is an analytic function of the d'Alembert-Beltrami operator $\Box = \nabla^\mu\nabla_\mu$ where ∇_μ is the covariant derivative. The Planck mass M_P is related to the Newtonian constant G as $M_P^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi G}$ and P,Q are scalar functions of the scalar curvature. The dimensionality is fixed to 4 and our signature is $(-,+,+,+)$. λ is a constant and in principle can be absorbed in the rescaling of $\mathcal{F}(\Box)$. However, it is a convenient tool to track the GR limit which is $\lambda \to 0$. As it is obvious we are going down the way of generalizing the results obtained earlier in the case $P = Q = R$ [9]. In the sequel we shall omit an explicit further citation of these results referring them rather as the *non-local* R^2 case.

To have physically meaningful expressions and to keep track of the non-localities one should introduce the scale of non-locality using a new mass parameter M. Then the function $\mathcal{F}$ would be expanded in Taylor series as $\mathcal{F}(\Box) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{f}_n\Box^n/M^{2n}$ with all barred constants dimensionless. We will

return to these notations during the discussion of our results.

Varying the action (3) by substituting

$$g_{\mu\nu} \to g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} \tag{4}$$

to the linear order in $h_{\mu\nu}$, removing the total derivatives and integrating from time to time by parts one gets

$$\delta S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\frac{h^{\mu\nu}}{2}\Big[- \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}\Big], \tag{5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} \equiv & -M_P^2 G_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda \\ & + \frac{\lambda}{2}g_{\mu\nu}P\mathcal{F}(\Box)Q - \lambda(R_{\mu\nu} - K_{\mu\nu})V \\ & + \frac{\lambda}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\left(P_\mu^{(l)}Q_\nu^{(n-l-1)} + P_\nu^{(l)}Q_\mu^{(n-l-1)} - g_{\mu\nu}(g^{\rho\sigma}P_\rho^{(l)}Q_\sigma^{(n-l-1)} + P^{(l)}Q^{(n-l)})\right). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Here $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R$ is the Einstein tensor, $K_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu}\Box$, $V = P_R\mathcal{F}(\Box)Q + Q_R\mathcal{F}(\Box)P$ where the subscript R indicates the derivative w.r.t. R (as many times as it is repeated) and

$$P^{(l)} = \Box^l P,\ P_\rho^{(l)} = \partial_\rho\Box^l P \text{ with the same for } Q,\ P_R,\ \ldots$$

Provided a matter source as well the full equations of motion read

$$\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}. \tag{7}$$

Analyzing (6) we recognize that the first line is the canonical EOM for the Einstein's GR with the cosmological constant, the second line with $\mathcal{F}(\Box) = 1$ represents the extension to the local $f(R)$ type gravities while a non-constant $\mathcal{F}(\Box)$ as well as the last line are unique for a higher derivative (probably non-local) modification of gravity. The trace equation is of use and we write it separately

$$\begin{aligned} & M_P^2 R - 4\Lambda + 2\lambda P\mathcal{F}(\Box)Q - \lambda(R + 3\Box)V \\ & -\lambda\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\left(g^{\rho\sigma}P_\rho^{(l)}Q_\sigma^{(n-l-1)} + 2P^{(l)}Q^{(n-l)}\right) = -T_\mu^\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

If either P or Q is a constant then effectively $\mathcal{F}(\Box) = f_0$ and does nothing with the non-local theories of interest. Note that thanks to the integration by parts there is always the symmetry of an exchange $P \leftrightarrow Q$.

3 Solutions construction

3.1 Cosmological FRW solutions

In this paper the primary goal is to attack the cosmological properties of the proposed gravity model. This brings us to the cosmologically important metrics from which we focus on the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) configurations. The latter have the following metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\left(\frac{dr^2}{1-Kr^2} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2\right), \tag{9}$$

where t is the cosmic time, $a(t)$ is the scale factor and K is the spatial curvature. Such metrics represent a homogeneous and isotropic universe. The resulting tensor $\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}$ turns out to be diagonal with two distinct components: (00) and (ii) for some spatial index $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The above chosen form of the metric implies that only the matter sources of the form $T^{\mu}_{\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(-\rho, p, p, p)$ are compatible with the equations of motion (7). Here ρ is the energy density and p is the pressure density. Such matter sources represent a wide class of physically important cases and include the most crucial set of perfect fluids which can be written as $T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u_\mu u_\nu + p g_{\mu\nu}$ where u_μ is the 4-velocity of the fluid.

It is common that gravity is a theory with constraints thanks to Bianchi identities. These identities manifest in the relation $\nabla^\mu G_{\mu\nu} \equiv 0$. However, one can check that given a generally covariant action like (3) one ends up with a similar relation

$$\nabla^\mu \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} \equiv 0.$$

This implies like in GR the canonical conservation equation for the matter

$$\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

In the sequel we will focus on two special cases: no matter at all and a radiation source. The first case represents vacua of our model and technically just reads as $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$. The second case is more subtle and captures many solutions of interest [16]. Indeed, as already mentioned above, the metric (9) leaves us with essentially two equations. For simplicity we choose the (00) component and the trace equation. Radiation is characterized by $w = p/\rho = 1/3$ and is therefore traceless. Given a solution to the trace equation with zero RHS we almost solve the whole system of equations since the Bianchi identity together with the conservation equation imply that the remaining (00) component must be satisfied modulo a radiation-like term at most. To be physical, such a radiation must have positive energy density.

3.2 Non-local R^2 case

It is clear from the complexity of expressions (6) that constructing a general solution is a very ambitious hope. However we remind that a significant progress has been achieved in the non-local R^2 case by considering a simplifying ansatz

$$\Box R = r_1 R + r_2 \tag{10}$$

with $r_{1,2}$ being constants. It is useful to repeat this procedure here in order to illuminate the steps related to our model of interest (3).

Consider $P = Q = R$. Then equations (7) become

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -M_P^2 G_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda + \frac{\lambda}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R\mathcal{F}(\Box)R - 2\lambda(R_{\mu\nu} - K_{\mu\nu})\mathcal{F}(\Box)R$$
$$+ \frac{\lambda}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\left(R_\mu^{(l)} R_\nu^{(n-l-1)} + R_\nu^{(l)} R_\mu^{(n-l-1)} - g_{\mu\nu}(g^{\rho\sigma} R_\rho^{(l)} R_\sigma^{(n-l-1)} + R^{(l)} R^{(n-l)})\right). \tag{11}$$

Application of ansatz (10) means

$$\Box^n R = r_1^n R + r_2 r_1^{n-1},\ n > 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{F}(\Box)R = \mathcal{F}_1 R + \mathcal{F}_2, \tag{12}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}(r_1)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \frac{r_2}{r_1}(\mathcal{F}(r_1) - f_0)$.

As explained in the previous Subsection we should proceed with the trace equation. For the traceless matter (or for no matter at all) it becomes

$$A_1 R - \lambda\mathcal{F}'(r_1)\left(2r_1 R^2 + \partial_\mu R \partial^\mu R\right) + A_2 = 0, \tag{13}$$

whith

$$A_1 = M_P^2 - \lambda\left(4\mathcal{F}'(r_1)r_2 - 2\frac{r_2}{r_1}(\mathcal{F}_1 - f_0) + 6\mathcal{F}_1 r_1\right),$$
$$A_2 = -4\Lambda - \lambda\frac{r_2}{r_1}\left(2\mathcal{F}'(r_1)r_2 - 2\frac{r_2}{r_1}(\mathcal{F}_1 - f_0) + 6\mathcal{F}_1 r_1\right).$$

The above equation is solved provided $A_1 = A_2 = 0$, and

$$\mathcal{F}'(r_1) = 0\ . \tag{14}$$

Simple algebra gives

$$r_2 = -\frac{r_1[M_P^2 - 6\lambda\mathcal{F}_1 r_1]}{2\lambda[\mathcal{F}_1 - f_0]}, \qquad \Lambda = -\frac{r_2 M_P^2}{4r_1}. \tag{15}$$

After this one is left with the problem of positivity of a possible radiation energy density.

3.3 Ansatz for (6)

Now we turn to our more generic model. Dramatic simplifications are seen provided two conditions are satisfied:

$$P = Q \text{ and } \Box P = p_1 P, \tag{16}$$

where p_1 is a constant. Application of this ansatz means

$$\Box^n P = p_1^n P, \ n > 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{F}(\Box)P = \mathcal{F}_{p1} P, \tag{17}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{p1} = \mathcal{F}(p_1)$. The further explicit substitution in the trace equation (8) yields (with $T^\mu_\mu = 0$)

$$M_P^2 R - 4\Lambda + 2\lambda \mathcal{F}_{p1} P^2 - 2\lambda(R + 3\Box) P_R \mathcal{F}_{p1} P - \lambda \mathcal{F}'(p_1)(g^{\rho\sigma} P_\rho P_\sigma + 2p_1 P^2) = 0. \tag{18}$$

Analogously to the non-local R^2 case a condition $\mathcal{F}'(p_1) = 0$ simplifies the clutter a lot. Then one can see that a specially simple case arises if $P = \sqrt{R + R_0}$. For such a choice of function P one gets $P_R \sim 1/P$ and as the result the latter equation can be solved by adjusting the parameters. However, there is still a non-trivial equation to be satisfied

$$\Box \sqrt{R + R_0} = p_1 \sqrt{R + R_0}. \tag{19}$$

Nevertheless, presence of at least some solutions can be checked numerically. Interestingly, such a choice of P has no a known local counterpart as it would give just a canonical Einstein-Hilbert term with a cosmological constant.

3.4 Constant curvature solutions

This is a special class of solutions which include several important cases.

Substituting $R = \text{const}$ into the trace equation (8) and using the fact that P, Q, V are now constants one gets

$$M_P^2 R - 4\Lambda + 2\lambda P f_0 Q - \lambda R V = 0. \tag{20}$$

Here V reduces to $(P_R Q + Q_R P) f_0$. Solving the latter equation is an algebraic rather than differential problem.

The very important case is the de Sitter solution as far as it plays a crucial role in the description of our Universe. In four dimensions it is characterized by

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{R}{4} g_{\mu\nu} \text{ and } R = \text{const} > 0. \tag{21}$$

Notice that just a constant R does not mean the space-time is de Sitter, however. One can check this is a vacuum solution of our model, i.e. no matter is needed to support it.

4 Cosmological expansion

4.1 Background

In this section we look for the cosmological spatially flat de Sitter which can be written as

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2Ht}d\vec{x}^2 \tag{22}$$

with a constant H, t the cosmic time and the vector is the 3-dimensional notion. This is a particular case of a spatially flat FRW metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 d\vec{x}^2 \tag{23}$$

with $a(t) = a_0 \exp(Ht)$. The general definition of H is $H = \dot{a}/a$ with dot being the derivative w.r.t. the cosmic time.

Some relevant background quantities (for a general a) are

$$R = 12H^2 + 6\dot{H},\ \Gamma^0_{ij} = Hg_{ij},\ \Gamma^i_{j0} = H\delta^i_j,\ \Box = -\partial_t^2 - 3H\partial_t + \frac{\delta^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j}{a^2}, \tag{24}$$

where the indexes i, j range as $1, 2, 3$. On the background all quantities are space homogeneous as the metric suggests.

For perturbations we employ the canonical ADM $(1 + 3)$ decomposition and introduce the conformal time τ such that

$$ad\tau = dt.$$

Then the general FRW metric (23) transforms to

$$ds^2 = a(\tau)^2(-d\tau^2 + d\vec{x}^2). \tag{25}$$

For the de Sitter background (22)

$$\tau = -\frac{1}{a_0 H}e^{-Ht} \ \Rightarrow\ a(\tau) = -\frac{1}{H\tau}.$$

So when t goes from past to future infinity, τ goes from $-\infty$ to 0_-. $t = 0$ corresponds to $\tau = -\frac{1}{a_0 H}$.

4.2 Scalar perturbations

The metric for the scalar perturbations around a FRW background is defined as

$$ds^2 = a(\tau)^2\left[-(1 + 2\phi)d\tau^2 - 2\partial_i\beta d\tau dx^i + ((1 - 2\psi)\delta_{ij} + 2\partial_i\partial_j\gamma)dx^i dx^j\right]. \tag{26}$$

Out of 4 scalar modes only 2 are gauge invariant. The convenient gauge invariant variables (Bardeen potentials) are introduced as

$$\Phi = \phi - \frac{1}{a}(a\vartheta)' = \phi - \dot{\chi}, \qquad \Psi = \psi + \mathcal{H}\vartheta = \psi + H\chi, \tag{27}$$

where $\chi = a\beta + a^2\dot{\gamma}$, $\vartheta = \beta + \gamma'$, $\mathcal{H}(\tau) = a'/a$. The prime denotes the differentiation with respect to the conformal time τ and the dot as before w.r.t. the cosmic time t.

The $(1+3)$ structure suggests to represent the perturbation quantities (which can depend on all 4 coordinates) as

$$f(\tau, \vec{x}) = f(\tau, k)Y(k, \vec{x}), \tag{28}$$

where $k = |\vec{k}|$ comes from the definition of the Y-functions as spatial Fourier modes

$$\delta^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j Y = -k^2 Y. \tag{29}$$

Obviously

$$Y = Y_0 e^{\pm i\vec{k}\vec{x}}. \tag{30}$$

The relevant expressions for the d'Alembertian operator are

$$\Box = -\frac{1}{a^2}\partial_\tau^2 - 2\frac{a'}{a^3}\partial_\tau - \frac{k^2}{a^2} = -\partial_t^2 - 3H\partial_t - \frac{k^2}{a^2}. \tag{31}$$

Recall that considering the de Sitter background we do not need the perturbed d'Alembertian operator to the linear order in perturbation analysis. However, all the expressions in this subsection are valid for a generic scale factor a.

4.3 Perturbations

Perturbations of equations (6) to the linear order around the dS vacuum are easy to compute since many terms drop out. What remains after a careful computation is

$$-m^2\delta G^\mu_\nu + (R^\mu_\nu - K^\mu_\nu)v(\Box)\delta R = 0, \tag{32}$$

where $m^2 = M_P^2 + \lambda f_0(P_R Q + Q_R P)$ and $v(\Box) = -\lambda(P_{RR}Q + Q_{RR}P)f_0 + 2P_R Q_R \mathcal{F}(\Box)$. We have used the fact that variation of the $\Box$ acting on a scalar function is a pure differential operator. Indeed, varying the box we have

$$(\delta\Box)f = [-h^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu\partial_\nu - \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho) - g^{\mu\nu}\gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho]f. \tag{33}$$

Here $\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}$ is the Christoffel symbol. Also we have used that starting from (4) one gets

$$\delta g^{\mu\nu} = -h^{\mu\nu},\ \delta\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} = \gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_\mu h^\rho_\nu + \nabla_\nu h^\rho_\mu - g^{\rho\sigma}\nabla_\sigma h_{\mu\nu}). \tag{34}$$

So if f is a constant then $(\delta\Box)f = 0$. The same is true for K^μ_ν

$$(\delta K^\mu_\nu)f = [-h^{\mu\sigma}(\partial_\sigma\partial_\nu - \Gamma^\rho_{\sigma\nu}\partial_\rho) - g^{\mu\sigma}\gamma^\rho_{\sigma\nu}\partial_\rho - \delta^\mu_\nu\delta\Box]f, \tag{35}$$

which is zero as long as f is a constant. Hence all the corresponding terms vanish.

Taking the trace of (32) we get

$$[m^2 + (R + 3\Box)v(\Box)]\delta R = \mathcal{G}(\Box)\delta R = 0. \tag{36}$$

It is a homogeneous equation on δR. The general method of solving it is to use the Weierstrass factorization

$$\mathcal{G}(\Box)\delta R = \prod_i (\Box - \omega_i^2)e^{\gamma(\Box)}\delta R = 0, \tag{37}$$

where ω_i^2 are the roots of the algebraic (or perhaps transcendental) equation $\mathcal{G}(\omega^2) = 0$ and $\gamma(\Box)$ is an entire function (as a consequence $e^{\gamma(\omega^2)}$ has no roots). We assume that there are no multiple roots as they complicate the story slightly. Then we can solve it for each ω_i separately

$$(\Box - \omega_i^2)\delta R = 0. \tag{38}$$

The latter is just a second order equation. Let's denote the corresponding solution as δR_i. The resulting general solution for δR is a sum

$$\delta R = \sum_i \delta R_i, \tag{39}$$

where each δR_i has its arbitrary integration constants. The only thing we care about is that the total δR must be real. Note that in the sequel the $\delta R = 0$ case will also play an essential role.

To proceed we need to specify more concretely the form of the background metric and its perturbations.

4.4 Solution to (36)

As explained after equation (36) we essentially must solve equation (38). It is a second order equation which can be written explicitly as

$$\left(\partial_\tau^2 - \frac{2}{\tau}\partial_\tau + k^2 + \frac{\omega_i^2}{H^2\tau^2}\right)\delta R = 0, \tag{40}$$

where we taken the dS form of the background. The solution yields

$$\delta R_i = (-k\tau)^{3/2} \left(C_{1i} J_{\nu_i}(-k\tau) + C_{2i} Y_{\nu_i}(-k\tau) \right), \tag{41}$$

where J, Y are the Bessel functions of the first and second kinds, respectively, with $\nu_i = \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} - \frac{\omega_i^2}{H^2}}$ and $C_{1i,2i}$ are the integration constants. The full answer for δR is

$$\delta R = \sum_i \delta R_i. \tag{42}$$

A possible case $\delta R = 0$ is a viable (and not a trivial) one.

4.5 Bardeen potentials

What we have to extract are the Bardeen potentials introduced in (27). To do this we need two equations.

One is given by the formulation of δR in terms of Φ and Ψ accounting that the time behavior of δR itself is found above. A lengthy computation gives

$$\delta R = \frac{2}{a^2} \left[k^2(\Phi - 2\Psi) - 3\frac{a'}{a}\Phi' - 6\frac{a''}{a}\Phi - 3\Psi'' - 9\frac{a'}{a}\Psi' \right]. \tag{43}$$

This expression is valid for a general a while its dS form is

$$\delta R = -6H^2 \left(4\Phi - \tau(\Phi' + 3\Psi') + \tau^2 \Psi'' \right) + 2\tau^2 H^2 k^2 \left(\Phi - 2\Psi \right). \tag{44}$$

Another equation can be got as the $i \neq j$ component of the system (32). To derive the latter we recite here the not trivially vanishing part (using the notations introduced in the previous Section)

$$-m^2 \delta G^i_j - g^{ik} \partial_k \partial_j v(\Box) \delta R = 0. \tag{45}$$

This upon the explicit sibstitution can be rewritten as

$$-m^2(\Phi - \Psi) + v(\Box)\delta R = 0. \tag{46}$$

4.6 Solutions for Φ and Ψ

The equations to solve are (36), (44) and (46). Assuming $m^2 \neq 0$ and combining (46) and (36) we yield

$$\delta R + (R + 3\Box)(\Phi - \Psi) \;\; = \;\; 0. \tag{47}$$

The latter equation can be simplified by substituting δR from (44), $\Box$ and $R = 12H^2$ into (47). This yields a homogeneous equation on $\Phi + \Psi$

$$(\tau^2\partial_\tau^2 - 4\tau\partial_\tau + 4 + \frac{k^2\tau^2}{3})(\Phi + \Psi) = 0 \tag{48}$$

and its solution is

$$\Phi + \Psi = \eta[c_1(\cos(\eta) + \eta\sin(\eta)) + c_2(-\eta\cos(\eta) + \sin(\eta))] \ , \tag{49}$$

where $\eta = \frac{k\tau}{\sqrt{3}}$.

Next, since δR is provided independently by (42) one readily expresses from (46)

$$\Phi - \Psi = \frac{1}{m^2}\sum_i v(\omega_i^2)\delta R_i. \tag{50}$$

Now we can find each field algebraically by means of equations (49), (50).

There is a special case $m^2 = 0$ as we loose the possibility to find out the Bardeen potentials separately. The compatibility of system (36,46) requires that either $\delta R = 0$ or there is a root of $v(\Box)$. However, neither of equations coming from (32) would help in this case as all of them lack information about individual Bardeen potentials if $m^2 = 0$.

Physically this reflects the fact that effectively the Einstein-Hilbert term vanishes and one reduces the number of propagating degrees of freedom.

Note that Φ and Ψ are bounded if and only if $\Phi + \Psi$ and $\Phi - \Psi$ are bounded. Let $t \to \infty$, then $\tau \to 0-$.

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}(\Phi + \Psi) = \lim_{t\to+\infty}\eta[c_1(\cos(\eta) + \eta\sin(\eta)) + c_2(-\eta\cos(\eta) + \sin(\eta))] = 0, \tag{51}$$

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}(\Phi - \Psi) = \frac{1}{m^2}\sum_i v(\omega_i^2)\lim_{t\to+\infty}(-k\tau)^{3/2}\left(C_{1i}J_{\nu_i}(-k\tau) + C_{2i}Y_{\nu_i}(-k\tau)\right). \tag{52}$$

For small values of τ Bessel functions have the following asymptotic behaviour

$$J_\nu(z) \sim z^{\mathrm{Re}\,\nu}, \ Y_\nu(z) \sim z^{-|\mathrm{Re}\,\nu|} \text{ for } \mathrm{Re}\,\nu \neq 0, Y_\nu(z) \sim \ln z \text{ for } \mathrm{Re}\,\nu = 0. \tag{53}$$

From this we conclude that Bardeen potentials are bounded irrespectively of the boundary condition provided

$$|\mathrm{Re}\,\nu| < \frac{3}{2}. \tag{54}$$

This is in perfect agreement with [9] and the comparison should be done as follows. In that reference a more general class of solutions was studied

which asymptote to the de Sitter background at late times while the non-local part of the Lagrangian is given by $R\mathcal{F}(\Box)R$. In the present paper we stick to the de Sitter solutions from the very beginning but the non-local part of the Lagrangian is more general. Assuming in our case $P(R) = Q(R) = R$ we retrieve the results exactly as in Section 4 in [9] where the late time regime is studied.

5 Understanding condition (54)

As the main result of the previous Section the question of stability of the de Sitter vacuum is narrowed to the satisfactory solution to eq. (54). ν in turn depends on the structure of the non-local operator $\mathcal{G}(\Box)$ such that

$$\nu = \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} - \frac{\omega^2}{H^2}} \tag{55}$$

and $\mathcal{G}(\omega^2) = 0$. Moreover, the requirement that the system does lead to ghosts demands that there is no more than one such a root ω^2 for the operator $\mathcal{G}$.

Tracing back the operator $\mathcal{G}$ and taking into account that no more than one root exists one finds

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G} =& m^2 + (R + 3\Box)v(\Box)\\ =& [M_P^2 + \lambda f_0(P_R Q + Q_R P)] + (R + 3\Box)[-\lambda(P_{RR}Q + Q_{RR}P)f_0 + 2P_R Q_R \mathcal{F}(\Box)]\\ =& (\Box - \omega^2)e^{\gamma(\Box)}\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

with $\gamma(\Box)$ an entire function. The latter equation can be in principal inverted to express $\mathcal{F}(\Box)$ and therefore see how much general it can be. It is worth to mention that an additional assumption made at the beginning is that $\mathcal{F}(\Box)$ itself is an analytic function.

To make things tractable we specialize to monomials

$$P(R) = R^p, \quad Q(R) = R^q, \tag{57}$$

for some nonzero integers p and q. At the background level this results in the following modification of equation (20)

$$M_P^2 R - 4\Lambda + \lambda f_0 R^{p+q}(2 - p - q) = 0. \tag{58}$$

This equation can be solved in general w.r.t. R as long as $-3 \leq p + q \leq 4$. Also we note the following quantities

$$m^2 = M_P^2 + \lambda(p + q)R^{p+q-1} f_0, \tag{59}$$

$$v(\Box) = -\lambda R^{p+q-2}((p^2 - p + q^2 - q)f_0 + 2pq\mathcal{F}(\Box)). \tag{60}$$

As a useful trick one can analyze $\mathcal{G}(0)$ to see whether the stability condition can be reached. Indeed, $\mathcal{G}$ is analytic by construction but a compatibility condition must be fulfilled

$$M_P^2 + \lambda R^{p+q-1}(p+q)(2-p-q)f_0 = -\omega^2 e^{\gamma(0)}. \tag{61}$$

It is obvious from (55) that as long as ω^2 is real it should be at least positive in order to satisfy (54). The latter condition (61) clearly shows that ω^2 is indeed real and therefore reduces to the following necessary inequality

$$M_P^2 + \lambda R^{p+q-1}(p+q)(2-p-q)f_0 < 0. \tag{62}$$

Satisfactory solution to this relation is a necessary stability condition. From here it is obvious that two special cases, namely $p+q=0$ and $p+q=2$ never have a stable de Sitter phase.

In a general situation we have to understand equation (58) together with the latter inequality (62). As a side turn one can simplify (62) using the background equation (58) to

$$M_P^2 R(p+q-1) > 4\Lambda(p+q). \tag{63}$$

One can see from this representation that for $p+q=1$ (directly related to (19)) one can have a stable de Sitter phase given a negative Λ. The latter condition is not improbable as long as $\lambda f_0 < 0$.

In attempt to solve the system (58) and (62) one can rewrite it as

$$1 - s + u = 0, \qquad 1 + uz < 0, \tag{64}$$

where $s = \frac{4\Lambda}{M_P^2 R}, z = p+q, u = \frac{\lambda f_0}{M_P^2} R^{z-1}(2-z)$. This latter system looks rather simple but unfortunately does not provide immediate new interesting solutions from the physical point of view.

6 Discussion and conclusion

The main subject of our investigation was the generalized gravity theory represented by (3) with the emphasis on the de Sitter solution. This theory contains higher derivatives and as such has a potentially dangerous property to generate ghosts. However, the ghost-free condition can be clearly formulated and is translated to the fact that the operator $\mathcal{G}(\Box)$ (eq. (36)) has no more than one root. Going further we find that simple algebraic conditions (58) and (62) determine a possibility to have stable configurations. Namely, satisfying the inequality (62) one allows the de Sitter phase to be stable.

Generically one would expect such a non-local model to be a candidate for the UV gravity modification. In this case a possible de Sitter phase would be attributed to the inflationary stage of the Universe evolution. This way the de Sitter phase should not be eternally stable as the inflation should stop. This is totally in accord with the study of bouncing Universe models performed in [9].

On contrary one can try to use non-local models in an attempt to challenge the present day slowly accelerated expansion of the Universe. In this case one has to arrange a stable evolution for a long period of time to be compatible with the presently observed Universe. Interestingly, for $P = R^p, Q = R^q$ two special cases $p + q = 0$ and $p + q = 2$ lead to no stable de Sitter solutions. The first case is a generalized "cosmological constant" (since $PQ = 1$) but on contrary to just a constant cosmological term the stability is lost. The second case is the generalized R^2 theory and for now is the mainly developed candidate for a renormalizable theory of gravity [15].

One more observed special case is a generalized Hilbert-Einstein term with $p = q = 1/2$. This leads to a possibility to solve completely the equations of motion provided we can find a solution to equation (19). However, finding a solution turned out to be a complicated problem and we leave it for a separate study. In all regimes we can control the order of the non-locality scale M using other parameters of the model.

Generically presence or absence of stable de Sitter configurations in model (3) with monomial P and Q has been reduced to a really simple algebraic system (64). In a general situation both stable and unstable regimes can be organized based on the parameters of the model.

Acknowledgments

This paper was supported in part by Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, grant No 174012. AK is supported by the FCT Portugal postdoctoral fellowship SFRH/BPD/105212/2014.

References

[1] R. Wald, *General Relativity* (University of Chicago Press, 1984).

[2] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], Astron. Astrophys. (2014) [1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO]].

[3] A. Borde, A. H. Guth and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003) 151301 [gr-qc/0110012].

[4] T. Clifton, P. G. Ferreira, A. Padilla and C. Skordis, Phys. Rep. **513**, 1–189 (2012) [1106.2476v2 [astro-ph.CO]];
S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rep. **505**, 59–144 (2011) [1011.0544v4 [gr-qc]];
T. P. Sotirou and V. Faraoni, **82** (2010) 451–497 [0805.1726v4 [gr-qc]].

[5] I. Ya. Aref'eva, D.M. Belov, A.A. Giryavets, A.S. Koshelev, P.B. Medvedev, hep-th/0111208;
W. Taylor and B. Zwiebach, [hep-th/0311017].

[6] V.S. Vladimirov, I.V. Volovich, I.V. Zelenov, *p-adic Analysis and Mathematical Physics* (Singapore: World Scientific (1994));
B. Dragovich, A. Yu. Khrennikov, S. V. Kozyrev and I. V. Volovich, Anal. Appl. **1** (2009) 1 [0904.4205 [math-ph]].

[7] T. Biswas, A. Mazumdar and W. Siegel, JCAP **0603** (2006) 009 [hep-th/0508194].

[8] T. Biswas, E. Gerwick, T. Koivisto and A. Mazumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 031101 [1110.5249 [gr-qc]].

[9] T. Biswas, A. S. Koshelev, A. Mazumdar and S. Y. Vernov, JCAP **1208** (2012) 024 [1206.6374 [astro-ph.CO]].

[10] A. S. Koshelev, Class. Quant. Grav. **30** (2013) 155001 [1302.2140 [astro-ph.CO]];
A. S. Koshelev, S. Y. Vernov, 1406.5887 [gr-qc];
B. Craps, T. De Jonckheere and A. S. Koshelev, JCAP **1411**, no. 11, 022 (2014) [1407.4982 [hep-th]].

[11] G. Calcagni, L. Modesto and P. Nicolini, [1306.5332 [gr-qc]];
L. Modesto, Phys.Rev. D86, 044005 (2012), 1107.2403;
L. Modesto, J. W. Moffat and P. Nicolini, Phys. Lett. B **695**, 397 (2011).

[12] B. Dragovich, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics **36**, 13–24 (2013) [1205.4409 [hep-th]].

[13] A. Conroy, A. Mazumdar, S. Talaganis and A. Teimouri, 1509.01247 [hep-th].

[14] K. Stelle, Phys.Rev. D**16**, 953 (1977).

[15] S. Talaganis, T. Biswas and A. Mazumdar, 1412.3467 [hep-th];
P. Don, S. Giaccari, L. Modesto, L. Rachwal and Y. Zhu, JHEP **1508**, 038 (2015) [1506.04589 [hep-th]].

[16] I. Dimitrijevic, B. Dragovich, J. Grujic and Z. Rakic, Filomat 29:3 (2015), 619-628 [1508.05583 [hep-th]];
I. Dimitrijevic, B. Dragovich, J. Grujic and Z. Rakic, Springer Proc. Math. Stat. **111**, 241 (2014).